

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/803,623	Applicant(s) SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
	Examiner Phillip H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

 (1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

 (2) Jasper Kwoh (54,921).
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2009
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Examiner's proposed amendment.*
Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

All independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Burgoon (U.S. Patent No 5,706,510) and Earl et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,966,058)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
During the telephone interview with the undersigned attorney, examiner indicated allowable subject matter and proposed an examiner's amendment to the independent claims. However, the undersigned attorney would like to see prior art(s) that teaches the privated symbolic link as recited in the claims. Therefore, an Final action is issued..